

# Performance Evaluation of the Basin Type Solar Still Using an Internal Solar Collector

Abderrahmane Abene <sup>#1</sup>, Ahmed Rahmani <sup>\*2</sup>, Zoubir Zahzouh <sup>#3</sup>

<sup>#1stv</sup> Valenciennes University, le Mont Houy 59300 Valenciennes, France

<sup>1</sup>a.abene@yahoo.fr

<sup>\*</sup>Mechanical Engineering Department, Larbi Ben M'hidi University, 04000, Oum El-Bouaghi, Algeria

<sup>2</sup>mag\_phy@yahoo.fr

<sup>#</sup>Mechanical Engineering Department, Université 20 Août 1955 Skikda, 21000, Skikda, Algeria

<sup>3</sup>zzahzouh@yahoo.fr

**Abstract**— In the scope of this paper, a novel experimental approach is proposed to improve the conventional basin type solar still productivity by integrating an internal solar collector. A vertical serpentine heat exchanger is sited inside the still acting as a solar collector to form an active solar still. The still productivity enhancement is verified experimentally through a comparative study with and without the internal solar collector during typical summer days. The water depth effect is also studied by varying the water mass in the basin still. The experimental data show that the integrated solar collector acts as an effective heat source allowing more solar energy absorption. It has been found that the still productivity is increased by about 20% and the still thermal efficiency is improved by 16.8%.

**Keywords**— Solar Energy; Seawater desalination; Experimental study; Active solar still; Internal solar collector.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The drinking water scarcity is a great problem that treats the human life in many regions around the world [1]. The use of solar energy for brackish and seawater distillation seems to be one of the most promising solutions for drinking water supply, especially in remote and arid areas where sunshine is abundant and fresh water is scarce [2-4]. Solar desalination strategy is recommended regarding to its economic benefits, increasing as fossil fuel reserves diminish as well as for reasons related to environment protection.

Conventional Solar Stills (CSS) are selected due to their simplicity and passive nature, no need for hard maintenance or skilled persons. However, they suffer from low production of distilled water, which sometimes limit the use of these systems [5,6]. Improving solar still productivity has been the subject of several research papers and remains a challenge to scientists [7]. However, this improvement can be achieved by a proper modification in the still design and operation [8-10]. In fact, these improvements are resulted from enhancing evaporation, condensation and heat storage [11].

Increasing the basin water temperature is one of the most efficient methods used to improve the solar still productivity. Since, the evaporation rate from the basin depends mainly on the difference in temperature between water surface and glass cover. For this reason, several techniques are proposed like:

reduction in water mass (i.e water depth) [12], an increase in solar radiation amount by using reflectors [13] or sun-tracking systems [14], by the addition of thermal storage materials (like dyes, rubber, gravel, sand and saw dust) in the basin [15,16] and also using an external heat source [17,18]. Consequently, the temperature difference between water and glass cover will increase, allowing better evaporation and condensation rate.

Active solar still (ASS) is one of the improvement forms achieved by transforming the passive Conventional Solar Still (CSS) to an ASC using an external heat source [19-22]. Thereby, the basin water temperature could be raised in order to accelerate water evaporation by feeding thermal energy from an external solar collector like: parabolic concentrator, evacuated tube collector (ETC), flat plate collectors (FPC) and hybrid photovoltaic (PV-T) solar still. Several research works have been dedicated to active solar stills [23] and a detailed review of active solar distillation systems is reported by Sampathkumar et al. [7].

In fact, integrating an external solar collector requires an extra space requirement and maintenance cost in comparison with the simple passive solar still [24,25]. Due to the large surface of the system, the daily yield can decrease due to convective heat loss [12,26]. As reported by Tiwari and Dhiman [27], the system efficiency is reduced with the increase in the effective area. Their experimental study showed that only an increase of 12% in still productivity is achieved when the solar collector length is varied from 6 to 12m and its efficiency varied from 15% to 19%. Kumar and Tiwari [28] observed that the water temperature and the system thermal efficiency are decreased due to the large storage capacity of the water mass and depth, respectively.

In the scope of this study, a new ASS design is proposed by integrating an Internal Solar Collector (ISC) inside the conventional basin type solar still. The idea is based upon integrating a vertical serpentine heat exchanger attached against the back-wall of the still. The introduction of an ISC at this location allows more solar energy absorption that contributes to increase the basin water temperature and consequently improve the evaporation rate and still productivity. This design allows getting a compact solar still with reduced cost, low maintenance and space requirement.

Outdoor experimental tests were conducted in typical days during June and July 2015 to investigate the effect of adding the ISC on the still thermal performances. The still improvement is demonstrated through a comparative study between two identical solar stills, the modified one (with ISC) and the simple one (without ISC). The experimental tests show that the daily yield of the modified still is 20% higher than the CSS. The effect of water mass on the system productivity is also studied by varying the water mass from 4 to 6 kg. The experiments demonstrate that as the water mass decrease, the still daily productivity is increased. Economic analysis of the proposed still is conducted and based on this analysis; the still's payback period was estimated to 157 days.

## II. SOLAR STILL DESCRIPTION

A schematic description of the proposed solar still is given in Fig. 1. It consists mainly of a basin type, single slope, solar still in which an ISC is integrated in the still. The basin area of the still is 0.36 m<sup>2</sup> (0.6m x 0.6m), fabricated using galvanized iron 1 mm of thickness and painted with black spray paint to increase the solar absorption. The basin is placed inside a rectangular wooden box and the space between them is filled by glass wool to reduce the bottom thermal losses. The left and right vertical walls of the still are formed with glass sheets of 3 mm thickness. Thereby, the total glass cover area is extended to 0.62m<sup>2</sup>. This arrangement is selected to increase the condensation rate and to get more solar rays reaching the basin in the morning as well as in the evening. The top glass cover is fixed at an inclination of 30°. The three glass covers are attached to an iron frame to get free access to the still for removing the ISC, for cleaning and maintenance operations. A plastic trough along the lower edge of each glass is used to collect and guide the distillate water towards the collection bottle. To avoid vapor leakage, the whole system is sealed using silicone rubber.




Fig. 1. A schematic description of the proposed solar still.

The ISC is fixed at the still rear side, which represents a significant area receiving solar rays. This location is not been used in previous works except to reflect solar radiation towards the basin liner [12]. Solar radiations passing through the glass cover will undergo several reflections inside the still. A portion of these rays will be reflected towards glass cover which leads to an increase of its temperature and

consequently a reduction in the condensation rate. At the same time, a portion of the reflected solar rays will be absorbed by the still air cavity leading to an increase in the still pressure and then a decrease in the still productivity. The ISC is a serpentine heat exchanger designed from a copper tube of 4.5 m length and an internal diameter of 12 mm. The tube is welded to a rectangular galvanized plate of 0.2 m<sup>2</sup> forming a flat plate collector. The collector was painted black to increase the capacity of solar absorption. To reduce heat losses, a polystyrene sheet of 15 mm thickness is placed between the galvanized plate and the still rear side.

A 12V DC pump is used to maintain water circulation between the basin and the ISC to allow better heat removal. The electrical power consumption of the pump is about 24 Watt. Knowing that a photovoltaic PV solar panel can be provided to ensure pump operation. In this study, a 12 Volt source is used to supply the electrical power needed for pump operation. To prevent pump overheating or failure, two parallel 12V DC pumps are used and operated alternatively by manual switching each hour. The water flow direction is upwardly to exhaust air from the heat exchanger.

## III. EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

Two identical solar stills were designed and built to study the effect of integrating the ISC on the still thermal behaviour as well as on its daily productivity. The study was done during June and July 2015 at the Faculty of Science and Applied Sciences, Oum-El-Bouaghi University, Algeria (Latitude: 35°79'N, Longitude: 7°40'E). The experimental tests presented in this paper concern three typical days characterized by a clear sky and high solar radiation. The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2.

The experimental setup is suitably instrumented to measure the temperatures at different points in the stills, total solar radiation and the amount of distillate output for both still. The temperature of the basin liner, water and the top glass cover are measured using calibrated K-type thermocouples. A digital WT-2 type thermometer is used to measure the ambient temperature. A CMP3-type pyranometer is used to measure the total solar radiation. A Davis-type anemometer is used to measure the wind speed. The produced condensate water is measured by using a graduated glass bottle of 1.5 liter. The pyranometer and the thermocouples are connected to an automatic data acquisition system. These measurements are recorded for each hour from 7:00 am to 6:00 pm.



Fig. 2. A photograph of the experimental setup.

#### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Three experimental tests are performed in the scope of this work to investigate the ISC effect on the still behaviour. The test performed in the 28/06/2015 shows a comparative study between the modified still (with ISC) and the CSS (without ISC) for 5kg water mass for both still.

While, the tests of the 27/06/2015 and 10/07/2015 are devoted to study the effect of water mass on the proposed still behaviour for 4 to 6 kg, respectively. The atmospheric conditions during the test days are shown in Figs. 3-5. For the three tests, the ambient temperature was in the range of 21-35°C, the total solar radiation, was in the range of 270-980 W/m<sup>2</sup> and the wind velocity was in the range of 2-6 m/s.




Fig. 3. Variation of solar radiation for the three test days.




Fig. 4. Variation of ambient temperature for the test days.

The still thermal efficiency ( $\eta$ ) may be calculated on the base of the distilled water mass ( $\dot{m}_{ew}$ ), the latent heat of evaporation ( $L_v$ ) and the total solar radiation ( $I_o$ ) fall upon the still area ( $A_b$ ), according to the following formula:

$$\eta = \frac{\dot{m}_{ew} \cdot L_v}{I_o \cdot A_b} \quad (1)$$




Fig. 5. Wind speed variation during the test days.

##### a) Still features with and without ISC

The experimental data presented in this section show the solar still performances with and without ISC and its contribution in improving the still productivity. For this purpose, two identical solar stills are designed and tested (Fig. 2) under a typical summer conditions of the 28/06/2015, for the same water mass of 5 kg. For this test, the maximum water mass flow rate circulating in the heat exchanger is evaluated to 0.034 kg/s. The main parameters describing the thermal behaviour of the CSS and the modified one (with ISC) are given in Figs 6-9.

Fig.6.b and Fig.6.d. show the temperature variations (for basin liner, basin water and glass cover) for the modified solar still and the CSS (without ISC), respectively. The comparison shows that all temperatures in the modified solar still are higher than those in the CSS. The maximum basin wall temperature of the CSS achieves 66.7°C, whereas for the modified one, it increases to 69.3°C. For the modified solar still, the maximum water temperature is 68.1°C and for the CSS it increased to 64.2°C. This increase in basin and water temperatures for the modified still is the result of an additional thermal energy provided by the ISC. Moreover, it can be seen that the water temperature variation is close to the basin wall temperature for the modified still.

Concerning the top glass cover, the maximum temperature in the CSS is 57.8°C, whereas in the modified still it increased to 62.9°C. This increase in the glass temperature is explained by the increase in evaporation heat transfer transferred from the water surface towards the glass cover. In other words, the glass cover of the modified still receives more latent heat leading to an increase in its temperature. The variations of the accumulated and hourly yields of the CSS and the modified still for 5 kg water mass are shown in Fig.7. Consequently, it is experienced that the still yield is enhanced by the integrated ISC. The daily productivity of the simple solar still is about 3.94 kg/m<sup>2</sup>,




Fig. 6. Temperature variations at different locations in the still for the three test days.

whereas for the modified still, it reaches 4.72 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. It can be concluded that the daily production of the modified solar still is increased by about 20% than the CSS. So, the use of the ISC allows more solar energy absorption that contributes to increase the basin water temperature.

This improvement in the fresh water productivity can be explained by the increase in the evaporation heat transfer rate between the water and the glass cover. The average thermal efficiency of the CSS is about 34.25%, whereas for the modified solar still it increases to about 40%.




Fig. 7. Still productivity with and without ISC for the 28/06/2015.

#### b) Effect of water mass

The effect of water mass on the proposed still (with the ISC) performances is investigated in this section. Three water mass values are considered in this study. The experimental tests were performed for water mass of 4, 5 and 6 kg for three typical days, namely: 27/06/2016, 28/06/2015 and 10/07/2015, respectively. It is verified experimentally that a value of 4 kg is considered as the minimum possible water mass to prevent pump cavitations.

The accumulated productivity variation with the water mass in the proposed solar still is shown in Fig. 8. As the water mass increases in the basin from 4, 5 to 6 kg, the still productivity will decrease from 5, 4.72 to 4.16 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The solar still thermal efficiency variation under the water mass effect is presented in Fig. 9. As can be

concluded, when the water mass decreases from 6, 5 to 4 kg, the corresponding mean thermal efficiency of the still is increased from 35.84, 40 to 41.5%, respectively.




Fig. 8. Effect of water mass on the still productivity.




Fig. 9. Thermal efficiency variation against the water mass.

In order to test the still capability, an economic analysis is conducted for both modified and CSSs. The analysis is performed according to [29]. The still total Annual Cost ( $AC$ ) is estimated based on the evaluation of Fixed Annual Cost ( $FAC$ ), the Annual Maintenance Cost ( $AMC$ ) and the Annual Salvage Cost ( $ASC$ ). The annual fixed cost is obtained by multiplying the total fixed cost ( $P$ ) by the amortization factor as given by:

$$FAC = \frac{i(1+i)^n}{(1+i)^n - 1} P \quad (2)$$

The expected lifetime ( $n$ ) for each still is estimated to 10 years and the rate of interest ( $i$ ) is taken as 12% of the total fixed cost. The annual maintenance cost covers the regular filling of water, collecting the distilled water, cleaning the

glass cover and removal of salt deposited. It was estimated at 10% of the total fixed cost. The annual salvage cost ( $ASC$ ) is given by (Eq.3), where, the salvage value ( $S$ ) is taken as 20% of the total fixed cost..

$$ASC = \frac{i}{(1+i)^n - 1} S \quad (3)$$

The annual cost ( $AC$ ) of the still can be estimated by the following equation

$$AC = AFC + AMC - ASC \quad (4)$$

The mean daily productivity of the CSS is to about 2.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> assuming that the still is operates for 340 days in the year. As demonstrated from the experimental study, the daily production of the modified solar still can be increased to about 20% than the CSS. Therefore, the average daily productivity of the modified still is 3.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The cost of 1 liter of distilled water is estimated by dividing the system annual cost by the still annual yield. The main part of the still cost is devoted to the labour costs, which represent 30% of the still fabrication cost.

The cost of each part constituting the simple and the modified solar still is given in Table 1 and a summary of the economic analysis is presented in Table 2. It is found that the cost of distilled water for the CSS is 0.015 \$/kg.m<sup>2</sup> and its payback period is 110 days. Although, for the modified solar still, the distilled water cost is 0.022 \$/kg.m<sup>2</sup> and its payback period is estimated to 157 days.

TABLE I  
COST ANALYSIS OF THE SOLAR STILL COMPONENTS (IN DA(\$)).

Unit components	Simple still	Modified still
glass covers	1300 (15.4)	1300 (15.4)
galvanized plate (basin)	500 (6.0)	500 (6.0)
wooden box	1500 (17.8)	1500 (17.8)
silicone paints/glues	700 (8.3)	700 (8.3)
glass wool insulation	600 (7.1)	600 (7.1)
galvanized plate (ISC)	-	280 (3.3)
cooper tube	-	1200 (14.2)
recirculation pumps	-	2x900 (21.3)
labor cost	1380 (16.33)	7880 (28)
total fixed cost	5980 (70.77)	10244 (121.3)
total fixed cost /m <sup>2</sup>	16612 (196.6)	28455.6 (336.7)

$I \$=84.5 DA$

TABLE II  
ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF THE STILL WITH AND WITHOUT ISC.

Economic parameters & costs	Simple still	Modified still
total fixed cost, (\$)	70.77	121.3
annual salvage cost, (\$·year <sup>-1</sup> )	0.81	1.38
annual fixed cost, (\$·year <sup>-1</sup> )	12.52	21.46
annual maintenance cost, (\$·year <sup>-1</sup> )	1.25	2.15
annual water productivity, (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	850	1020
cost of distilled water, (\$/kg)	0.26	0.26
total annual cost, (\$·year <sup>-1</sup> )	13	22.23
cost of daily productivity, (\$/kg·m <sup>2</sup> )	0.0153	0.022
net profit, (\$·year <sup>-1</sup> )	220	263
payback period, (days)	110	157

## V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents a new design of an active solar still with an internal solar collector. The effect of integrating an ISC on the still thermal performances was experimentally evaluated under different experimental conditions. The experiments show that the integrated ISC acts as an additional and effective heat source allowing more solar energy absorption which contributes to increase the basin water temperature as well as the productivity and thermal efficiency of the still. The analysis of the experimental data enables to underline the following conclusions:

- Integrating the ISC provides a higher yield than the CSS with an increase of 20% in the still productivity.
- The average thermal efficiency and estimated cost of proposed still are about 40% and 0.021 \$/kg.m<sup>2</sup> respectively. Whereas, those of the simple one (without ISC) are 34.25% and 0.015 \$/kg.m<sup>2</sup>.
- The daily yield from the modified still increases with the decrease in the water mass and the still productivity is maximum for the least water mass in the basin.
- Compared to active solar still with external solar collector, the proposed design reduces the overall cost and maintenance of the system as well as the space requirement.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the University of Larbi Ben M'hidi, Oum-El-Bouaghi, Algeria. The authors gratefully acknowledge Mrs. Moubarek Announ, Zine Saadi and Keblouti Ouahid for their kind cooperation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P.K. Pandey, R. Upadhyay, "Desalination of brackish water using solar energy", *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research*, Vol. 6(2), pp. 350-354, 2016.
- [2] A. Rahmani, A. Boutrria and A. Hadeif, "An experimental approach to improve the basin type solar still using an integrated natural circulation loop", *Energy Conversion and Management*, Vol. 93, pp. 298-308, 2015.
- [3] G.M. Ayoub and L. Malaeb, "Developments in solar still desalination systems: A critical review", *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, Vol. 42, pp. 2078-2112, 2012.
- [4] Z.M. Omara, A. E. Kabeel and M.M. Younes, "Enhancing the stepped solar still performance using internal and external reflectors", *Energy Conversion and Management*, Vol. 78, pp. 876-881, 2014.
- [5] C. Tenthani, A. Madhlopa and C.Z. Kimambo, "Improved solar still for water purification", *Journal of Sustainable Energy and Environment*, Vol. 3, pp. 111-113, 2012.
- [6] M.K. Gnanadason, P.S. Kumar, V.H. Wilson and A. Kumaravel, "Productivity enhancement of a-single basin solar still", *Desalination and Water Treatment*, Vol. 55, pp. 1998-2008, 2015.
- [7] K. Sampathkumar, T.V. Arjunan, P. Pitchandi and P. Senthilkumar, "Active solar distillation: A detailed review", *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 14, pp. 1503-1526, 2010.
- [8] O.P. Agboola, U. Atikol and H. Assefi, "Feasibility assessment of basin solar stills", *International Journal of Green Energy*, Vol. 12, pp. 139-147, 2015.
- [9] A.E. Kabeel, "Performance of solar still with a concave wick evaporation surface", *Energy*, Vol. 34, 1504-1509, 2009.
- [10] V. Velmurugan, K.J.N. Kumar, H.T. Noorul and K. Srithar, "Performance analysis in stepped solar still for effluent desalination", *Energy*, Vol. 34, pp. 1179-1186, 2009.
- [11] A.F. Muftah, M.A. Alghoul, A. Fudholi, M.M. Abdul-Majeed and K. Sopian, "Factors affecting basin type solar still productivity: A detailed review", *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 32, pp. 430-447, 2014.
- [12] H.Ş. Aybar and H. Assefi, "Simulation of a solar still to investigate water depth and glass angle", *Desalination and Water Treatment*, Vol. 7, pp. 35-40, 2009.
- [13] V.R. Rajesh, K. Harikrishnan, K.K. Chaithanya, S.Subi, "Performance evaluation of a solar desalination system integrated with a fresnel lens concentrator", *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research*, Vol. 6(1), pp. 250-253, 2016.
- [14] S. Abdallah, and O.O. Badran, "Sun tracking system for productivity enhancement of solar still", *Desalination*, Vol. 220, pp. 669-676, 2008.
- [15] Z.M. Omara and A.E. Kabeel, "The performance of different sand beds solar stills", *International Journal of Green Energy*, Vol.11(3), pp. 240-254, 2014.
- [16] K.K. Murugavel and K. Srithar, "Effect of cover plate transmittance on solar still performance", *International Journal of Green Energy*, Vol. 12(4), pp. 431-439, 2015.
- [17] K. Ghali, N. Ghaddar, and A. Alsaïdi, "Experimental and theoretical study of an optimized integrated solar desalination and air conditioning unit", *International Journal of Green Energy*, Vol. 8(1), pp. 81-99, 2011.
- [18] K.S. Maheswari, M.K. Kalidasa and G. Esakkimuthu, "Thermal desalination using diesel engine exhaust waste heat-An experimental analysis", *Desalination*, Vol. 358, pp. 94-100, 2015.
- [19] M.K. Gaur and G.N. Tiwari, "Optimization of number of collectors for integrated PV/T hybrid active solar still", *Applied Energy*, Vol. 87, pp. 1763-72, 2010.
- [20] S.H. Kumar and G.N. Tiwari, "Life cycle cost analysis of single slope hybrid (PV/T) active solar still", *Applied Energy*, Vol. 86, pp. 1995-2004, 2009.
- [21] S.J. Mamouri, H.G. Derami, M. Ghiasi, M.B. Shafii and Z. Shiee, "Experimental investigation of the effect of using thermosyphon heat pipes and vacuum glass on the performance of solar still", *Energy*, Vol. 75, pp. 501-507, 2014.
- [22] Y. Taamneh and M.M. Taamneh, "Performance of pyramid-shaped solar still: Experimental study", *Desalination*, Vol. 291, pp. 65-68, 2012.
- [23] C. Elango, N. Gunasekaran and K. Sampathkumar, "Thermal models of solar still-A comprehensive review", *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 47, pp. 856-911, 2015.
- [24] T. Rajaseenivasan, R.P. Nelson and K. Srithar, "An experimental investigation on a solar still with an integrated flat plate collector", *Desalination*, Vol. 347, pp. 131-137, 2014.
- [25] A.D. Khawajia, I.K. Kutubkhanaha and J.M. Wie, "Advances in seawater desalination technologies", *Desalination*, Vol. 221, pp. 47-69, 2008.
- [26] S. Kumar and G.N. Tiwari, "Estimation of convective mass transfer in solar distillation system", *Solar Energy*, Vol. 57, pp. 459-464, 1996.
- [27] T. He and L. Yan, "Application of alternative energy integration technology in seawater desalination", *Desalination*, Vol. 249, pp. 104-108, 2009.
- [28] A.E. Kabeel, Z.M. Omara and F.A. Essa, "Improving the performance of solar still by using nanofluids and providing vacuum", *Energy Conversion and Management*, Vol. 86, pp. 268-274, 2014.
- [29] A.E. Kabeel, A.M. Hamed and S.A. El-Agouz, "Cost analysis of different solar still configurations", *Energy*, Vol. 35, pp. 2901-2908, 2010.